

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JANUARY 30, 2013

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Case No. 2:12-cv-05429-NS

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Civil Action No. 12-5429

AF HOLDINGS, LLC

Plaintiff

v.

LEONARD HOLLEY

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Daniel G. Ruggiero

P.O. Box 291

Canton, MA 02021

Telephone: (339)237-0343

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

January 30, 2013

for the

EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1. I disagree with this assertion regarding the "NATURE OF THE CASE".

2. I disagree with the assertion that AF Holdings is indeed a actual company and challenge the plaintiff to provide evidence as to the actual owner of of this alleged company.

3. I have insuficient knowledge to assert a answer.

4. I do acknowledge that I am over the age of 18, however, I have insufficient knowledge to assert a answer to the rest of paragraph 4.

5-7. I have insufficient knowledge to assert a answer to these paragraphs.

8-17. I have insufficient knowledge to assert a answer to these paragraphs.

18. I have insufficient knowledge to assert a answer to this paragraph.

19. I disagree with this statement as I was not furnished with a copy of
"EXIHIBIT B"

20-21. I have insufficient knowledgr to assert a answer to this paragraph.

22. I disagree with this statement and further more I question the valadity of Plaintiff's forensic software that these claims are based on.

23. I disagree with this statement. I also question the investigators credentials to perform this action, the legality of said action and the accuracy of this action.

24. I disagree with this statement.

25-26. I have insufficient knowledge to assert an answer to these statements.

27-35. I disagree with these statements.

36-37. I disagree with these statements.

38. I disagree with these statements and ask the Plaintiff on what legal grounds these assumptions are based.

39. I disagree with this statement.

40-44. I disagree with these statements.

45-52. I disagree with these statements.

53-58. I disagree with these statements.

COUNT V - NEGLIGENCE

59-70.

I disagree with this claim and I further state, that I motion for dimissal based on this claim. Plaintiff has constructed a five prong basis for this suit,which if I am sucessful in defending against any one of the Plaintiff's accusations none of the Plaintiff's accusations are enforceable.

71. I agree with this statement.

Leonard M. Holley

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

01/30/13

Product: [redacted]
Description: [redacted]

to [redacted]
Zone [redacted]

to [redacted]
Expected delivery date: [redacted]

Label # [redacted]
9500 [redacted]

Issue Ref: [redacted]

Totals: [redacted]

Paid by: [redacted]
Cash: [redacted]
Change due: [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

to [redacted]
on [redacted]

Copy of when
answer +
motion to dis-
miss was mailed
by my brother
to plaintiff's
attorney